

1 Steve Berman (pro hac vice)
2 **HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP**
3 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
4 Seattle, WA 98101
5 Telephone: (206) 623-7292
6 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
7 steve@hbsslaw.com

8 Reed R. Kathrein (139304)
9 Lucas E. Gilmore (250893)
10 **HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP**
11 Berkeley, CA 94710
12 Telephone: (510) 725-3000
13 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001
14 reed@hbsslaw.com
15 lucasg@hbsslaw.com

16 *Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff*
17 *New Zealand Methodist Trust Association*

18 [Additional counsel on signature page]

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

21 CASEY ROBERTS, individually and on
22 behalf of all other similarly situated,
23
24 Plaintiff,

25 v.

26 ZUORA, INC., TIEN TZUO, and TYLER
27 SLOAT,
28 Defendants.

No. 3:19-cv-03422-SI

CLASS ACTION

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER APPROVING
PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET
SETTLEMENT FUND

Judge: Hon. Susan Illston

1 This matter came for hearing on January 12, 2024 (“Settlement Hearing”) on Lead Counsel’s
2 motion to determine whether the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund (“Plan of
3 Allocation”) created by the Settlement achieved in above-captioned class action (“Action”) should be
4 approved. *See* ECF No. 270. The Court, having considered all papers filed and proceedings
5 conducted herein, and otherwise being fully informed of the matters hereto and good cause appearing
6 therefore;

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

8 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Amended Stipulation and
9 Agreement of Global Settlement dated June 22, 2023 (ECF No. 272-1) (“Stipulation”), and all terms
10 not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

11 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the
12 Action, Lead Plaintiff, all Settlement Class Members, and the Federal Action Defendants.

13 3. Notice of Lead Plaintiff’s motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation and
14 of the date for the hearing on such motion was given to all Settlement Class Members who could be
15 identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying Settlement Class of the motion
16 for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal
17 Rules of Civil Procedure, due process, and all other applicable laws and rules, constituted the best
18 notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons
19 and entities entitled thereto.

20 4. Copies of the Notice were mailed to over 69,000 potential Settlement Class Members
21 and Nominees. The Notice, which included the Plan of Allocation, was posted on the settlement
22 website and mailed to Nominees as well as Settlement Class Members upon request. No objections
23 to the proposed Plan of Allocation were received.

24 5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the
25 Claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation provides a fair and reasonable basis upon
26 which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members with
27 due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

28

1 6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects,
2 fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of
3 Allocation proposed by Lead Plaintiff.

4 7. Any appeal or any challenges affecting this Court's approval of the Plan of Allocation
5 shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

6 8. There is no just reason for delay of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of
7 the Court is expressly directed.

8
9 DATED: January 16, 2024



HON. SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE